

The in-tree of an n -cycle under cyclic conjugation: depth p^{e-1} for odd p , and $2^{e-1} - 1$ for $p = 2$

Frédéric Lefebvre-Naré*

July 24, 2026

Abstract

Fix the standard n -cycle $s = (1\ 2\ \cdots\ n)$, and repeatedly replace an n -cycle q by its conjugate $D(q) = q s q^{-1}$. The cycle s is the unique fixed point of D ; the n -cycles that eventually reach it under iteration form a tree feeding into s , and we determine the depth of that tree — the number of steps the slowest of these cycles needs.

The depth depends only on the factorization of n . It equals 1 unless $8 \mid n$ or $p^2 \mid n$ for an odd prime p (the Hull–Dobell threshold); for $n = p^e$ it is p^{e-1} for odd p and $2^{e-1} - 1$ for $p = 2$, and for general n it is the largest of these over the prime-power factors.

The proof turns conjugation, near s , into base- p arithmetic. In a “carry” coordinate an n -cycle becomes a base permutation together with a vector of *carries* in $\mathbb{Z}/p$, and D acts on the carries by an explicit affine map — the carrying of ordinary base- p addition. The heart is the prime-power case $n = p^e$, where three things then happen: the cycles feeding s are exactly the n -cycles in a classical group (the permutations preserving a binomial flag, whose Sylow p -subgroup is Kaloujnine’s iterated wreath product); their deepest chains lie in a “root fibre” on which D is a linear cellular automaton; and the depth is the nilpotency length of the shift difference $I - S$ — exactly it for odd p , one less for $p = 2$. That single missing carry, which odd primes absorb and $p = 2$ cannot, is the whole source of the two-branch answer. The Chinese Remainder Theorem reduces general n to prime powers.

2020 MSC: 05A05, 20B30, 37P99, 11A07. *Keywords:* n -cycles, conjugation, functional graph, in-tree depth, carry propagation, binomial flag, Hull–Dobell, p -Sylow subgroup.

1 Introduction

Let $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ be the symmetric group on $\mathbb{Z}/n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $C(n) \subseteq \text{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ the set of n -cycles, and $s = s_n$ the standard cycle $x \mapsto x+1$. The *derivation* is

$$D = D_n: C(n) \rightarrow C(n), \quad D(q) = q s q^{-1},$$

the conjugate of s by q (equivalently, the n -cycle sending $q(i)$ to $q(i+1)$). Since conjugation preserves cycle type, D maps $C(n)$ into itself; its unique fixed point is s (from $q s q^{-1} = q$ one gets $s q^{-1} = e$). Not every n -cycle reaches s : some fall into other periodic orbits. Those that *do* reach it form the *in-tree* of s — the set basin_n of n -cycles q with $D^t(q) = s$ for some $t \geq 0$ (a tree, since each vertex

**Provenance and status.* The proof below was obtained by artificial-intelligence models (Anthropic’s Claude) in interactive dialogue with the author, and reviewed module by module by OpenAI models (mostly GPT-5.5); every intermediate claim was verified by exact finite computation over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (Appendix A). An end-to-end verification of the assembled whole, and human peer review, remain to be done; the argument should be examined critically before being relied upon. This companion accompanies [10].

has one outgoing edge and no cycle other than the loop at s), with $\text{dist}(q) = \min\{t : D^t(q) = s\}$. This note computes the depth of that tree, $\text{depth}(n) = \max_{q \in \text{basin}_n} \text{dist}(q)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Main theorem). *For a prime p and $e \geq 1$,*

$$\text{depth}(p^e) = \begin{cases} p^{e-1}, & p \text{ odd}, \\ 2^{e-1} - 1, & p = 2, \end{cases}$$

and for general $n = \prod_p p^{e_p}$, $\text{depth}(n) = \max_p \text{depth}(p^{e_p})$. In particular $\text{depth}(n) = 1$ unless $8 \mid n$ or $p^2 \mid n$ for some odd prime p .

The threshold “ $8 \mid n$ or $p^2 \mid n$ (odd p)” is exactly the Hull–Dobell criterion [1] for the existence of a full-period non-translation affine map $x \mapsto ax + b$ on $\mathbb{Z}/n$ — the criterion underlying full-period linear congruential generators [2] and single-cycle T -functions [3]. This is no coincidence: the affine n -cycles occupy the top two layers of the in-tree, and D collapses the multiplier a to 1 in one step, so the tree is deep precisely when non-translation affine cycles exist. The exact depth, and its two-branch form, come from the finer arithmetic of carries in base p .

The idea, in words. Conjugation by s is intricate on all of $C(n)$, but near the fixed point it becomes transparent. Fix $n = p^e$ (the Chinese Remainder Theorem, §6, then assembles general n). The cycles that can reach s all commute with $g = s^{p^{e-1}}$; such a cycle splits into a coarse “base” permutation on p^{e-1} blocks and a vector of *carries* in $\mathbb{Z}/p$ recording how it shifts within the blocks, and D transforms the carries by an explicit affine map — the very carrying of base- p addition (§2). This one change of coordinates has three consequences, one per section, which together compute the depth:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{conjugation on } C(p^e) \text{ (§2)} &\longrightarrow \text{basin} = \text{a classical group (§3)} \\ &\longrightarrow \text{depth} = \text{nilpotency height (§4)} \longrightarrow \text{a carry cascade (§5)}. \end{aligned}$$

More precisely:

- (a) the in-tree of s at level p^k consists of exactly the n -cycles in the group of *triangular* permutations for the binomial flag $\varphi_j = \binom{\cdot}{j}$ — an iterated affine (wreath) tower whose p -part is the p -Sylow subgroup of S_{p^k} (§3);
- (b) on the “root fibre” the carry map is the shift difference $I - S$, nilpotent of index $d = p^{e-1}$; a cycle’s distance to s splits as its base-distance plus the nilpotency height of the carry it enters with (§4), reducing the depth to one linear-algebra statement, (L2);
- (c) (L2) holds because a chain of functionals transported along the tree first fails to close up only at step $d - 2$ (the *obstruction law*, §5), with a single “deviation” at odd primes that an identity about rotation carries exactly compensates — and this lone carry is what makes the answer p^{e-1} for odd p but one less for $p = 2$.

Reading guide and status. The paper is self-contained. Sections 2 (foundations) and 3 (the flag tower) are elementary; §4 is the reduction, §5 its arithmetic core, §6 the multiplicative step. Every proposition has been verified by exact computation over $\mathbb{F}_p$ on small prime powers; these certificates are collected in Appendix A and are reproducible, but form no part of the proof. As stated in the title footnote, the argument is machine-generated and has not been refereed; the reader should treat it critically.

2 Carry coordinates, the cocycle, and the finite calculus

Goal of this section. We install the one change of coordinates that drives everything: near the fixed point a cycle splits into a coarse *base* permutation and a vector of *carries* in $\mathbb{Z}/p$, and D acts on the pair by an explicit affine *cocycle* (Lemma 2.3) — the carrying of base- p addition. We also record the finite difference calculus attached to the binomial flag, used from §3 on. A running example ($n = 8$) is threaded through §§2–4.

Throughout, p is a fixed prime. We use two consecutive levels of a p -power tower: a level $m = p^k$ and its *base* $c = p^{k-1}$ ($k \geq 1$). Each $x \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ decomposes as $x = \mu(x) + c\ell(x)$ with $\mu(x) = x \bmod c \in \{0, \dots, c-1\}$ and $\ell(x) = \lfloor x/c \rfloor \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$.

2.1 Carry coordinates

Let $g = s_m^c$ (the map $x \mapsto x+c$ on $\mathbb{Z}/m$), of order p ; its orbits are the *fibres* $\{r, r+c, \dots, r+(p-1)c\}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}/c$. Its centraliser in $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ is the wreath product $\mathbb{Z}/p \wr S_c$: an element commuting with g permutes the fibres and translates inside each.

Proposition 2.1 (carry coordinates). *Every $\theta \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ commuting with g is uniquely*

$$\theta(r + jc) = \bar{\theta}(r) + ((j + a_r) \bmod p)c, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}/c, j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, \quad (1)$$

with base $\bar{\theta} \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}/c)$ and carry $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p)^c$; equivalently $\mu \circ \theta = \bar{\theta} \circ \mu$ and $\ell(\theta(x)) = \ell(x) + a_{\mu(x)} \pmod{p}$. The map $\theta \mapsto (\bar{\theta}, a)$ is a bijection onto $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}/c) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p)^c$.

Proof. Commuting with g means permuting the fibres (giving $\bar{\theta}$ via $\mu \circ \theta = \bar{\theta} \circ \mu$) and commuting with the $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -translation inside them, i.e. acting on the ℓ -coordinate by a shift a_r depending only on the source fibre r . Conversely any $(\bar{\theta}, a)$ yields such a θ , and the constructions are inverse. $\square$

Proposition 2.2 (cyclicity criterion). *$\theta = (\bar{\theta}, a)$ is a single m -cycle iff $\bar{\theta} \in C(c)$ and $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/c} a_r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. The projection μ semiconjugates θ to $\bar{\theta}$, so a single m -cycle projects to a single c -cycle. Assume $\bar{\theta} \in C(c)$. Then θ^c fixes each fibre and acts there by the translation by $T := \sum_r a_r$ (accumulating the fibre increments once around the base c -cycle; the sum runs over all of $\mathbb{Z}/c$, independent of the starting fibre), so $\theta^c = g^T$ on each fibre. Since $\bar{\theta}$ is a c -cycle, the θ -orbit of any point projects onto all of $\mathbb{Z}/c$, hence meets every fibre; so θ is a single m -cycle iff θ^c is a single p -cycle on one fibre, i.e. iff $T \not\equiv 0$. $\square$

We write $q = (\tau, a)$, $\tau \in C(c)$, $a = b(q) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p)^c$ for an m -cycle in these coordinates, and $F^{(k)} = \{q \in C(m) : q \text{ commutes with } g\}$ for the domain of (1) inside $C(m)$.

Running example ($n = 8$). Take $p = 2$, top level $m = 8$, base $c = 4$; the fibres of $g = s_8^4$ (the map $x \mapsto x + 4$) are $\{0, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{2, 6\}, \{3, 7\}$, indexed $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The 8-cycle $q = (5, 6, 3, 4, 1, 2, 7, 0)$ reads in these coordinates as base $\tau = s_4$ and carry $a = (1, 1, 0, 1)$; $\Sigma a = 1 \neq 0$ confirms it is a single cycle (Prop. 2.2). The fixed point is $s = (s_4, e_3) = (s_4, (0, 0, 0, 1))$. One step of the cocycle (2), with $(L_{s_4} a)_{r'} = a_{r'} - a_{r'-1}$ and $w_{s_4} = e_0$, sends the carry to $(1, 0, 1, 1)$: a single step of D is a single step of base-2 carrying, the base s_4 left unchanged. We follow this q to s in §4.

2.2 The cocycle

Carries and functionals live in $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^c = \mathbb{F}_p^{\mathbb{Z}/c}$; e_i denotes the i -th standard basis vector (indicator of $i \in \mathbb{Z}/c$), and all carry indices are read modulo c . Write $\Sigma a = \sum_r a_r$ and $\langle \chi, a \rangle = \sum_x \chi(x) a_x$.

Lemma 2.3 (cocycle). *For $q = (\tau, a) \in F^{(k)}$, $D_m(q) = (D_c \tau, L_\tau a + w_\tau)$ where*

$$(L_\tau a)_{r'} = a_{u+1} - a_u \quad (u = \tau^{-1}(r'), \text{ index } u + 1 \bmod c), \quad w_\tau = e_{\tau(c-1)}. \quad (2)$$

Dually, for every $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/c \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$, $\langle \varphi, L_\tau a + w_\tau \rangle = \langle \Delta(\varphi \circ \tau), a \rangle + \varphi(\tau(c-1))$, where $(\Delta\chi)(v) = \chi(v-1) - \chi(v)$. Consequently $\Sigma(L_\tau a + w_\tau) = 1$, $\text{Im } L_\tau = \{\Sigma = 0\}$, and $\ker L_\tau = \mathbb{F}_p \mathbf{1}$.

Proof. Use the defining identity $D_m(q)(q(x)) = q(x+1)$. Write $y = q(x)$: then $\mu(y) = \tau(\mu(x))$ and $\ell(y) = \ell(x) + a_{\mu(x)}$. On $\mathbb{Z}/m$, $\mu(x+1) = s_c(\mu(x))$, so $\mu(D_m(q)(y)) = \tau(s_c(\mu(x))) = D_c \tau(\mu(y))$: the base is $D_c \tau$. For the carry, $\ell(q(z)) = \ell(z) + a_{\mu(z)}$ and $\ell(x+1) = \ell(x) + [\mu(x) = c-1]$, so with $u = \mu(x)$, $r' = \mu(y) = \tau(u)$, $b(D_m q)_{r'} = \ell(D_m(q)(y)) - \ell(y) = a_{u+1} - a_u + [u = c-1]$, i.e. (2) with $w_\tau = e_{\tau(c-1)}$. For the dual form, put $\psi = \varphi \circ \tau$ and change the summation index from r' to $u = \tau^{-1}(r')$: $\sum_{r'} \varphi(r')(a_{u+1} - a_u) = \sum_u \psi(u)(a_{u+1} - a_u)$; summing by parts (Abel) around $\mathbb{Z}/c$, with $v = u + 1$ (all indices mod c), this is $\sum_v (\psi(v-1) - \psi(v)) a_v = \langle \Delta\psi, a \rangle$; and $\langle \varphi, w_\tau \rangle = \varphi(\tau(c-1))$. The consequences: $\Sigma(L_\tau a) = \langle \mathbf{1}, L_\tau a \rangle = \langle \Delta(\mathbf{1} \circ \tau), a \rangle = 0$ (as $\Delta \mathbf{1} = 0$) and $\Sigma w_\tau = 1$; L_τ has corank 1 with kernel the constants (the only functions killed by $a \mapsto a_{u+1} - a_u$ around the c -cycle τ). $\square$

In the language of dynamics, this exhibits D_m on $F^{(k)}$ as a *cocycle extension* (skew product) of the base map D_c by the carry group $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^c$: the base coordinate evolves by D_c , and the carry by the affine cocycle $a \mapsto L_\tau a + w_\tau$ over it.

2.3 The binomial flag and the difference calculus

On $\mathbb{Z}/D$ ($D \geq 2$ a power of p) set $\varphi_j(x) = \binom{x}{j} \bmod p$ and $V_h = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{h-1})$; the *flag-degree* $\deg_V g$ of $g \neq 0$ is the largest j with nonzero Newton coefficient, and its *head* is that coefficient.

Lemma 2.4 (difference and primitive). *On $\mathbb{Z}/D$: (i) for $1 \leq j < D$, $\Delta\varphi_j \equiv -\varphi_{j-1} \pmod{V_{j-1}}$, so Δ lowers flag-degree by exactly one and $V_h = \ker \Delta^h$; dually the primitive raises it by one. (ii) χ has a primitive Ψ_χ (with $\Delta\Psi_\chi = \chi$, $\Psi_\chi(0) = 0$, $\Psi_\chi(x) = -\sum_{u=1}^x \chi(u)$) iff $\Sigma\chi = 0$; for the truncated primitive of a general χ , $\Delta\Psi_\chi = \chi - (\Sigma\chi) e_0$. (iii) $\mathbf{1} = \Delta(-\varphi_1)$.*

Proof. (i) Pascal gives $\varphi_j(v-1) - \varphi_j(v) = -\binom{v-1}{j-1}$ for $1 \leq v \leq D-1$, and again by Pascal $\binom{v-1}{j-1} = \binom{v}{j-1} - \binom{v-1}{j-2} \equiv \varphi_{j-1}(v) \pmod{V_{j-1}}$; the wrap at $v = 0$ adds a multiple of $\binom{D}{j} \equiv 0$ ($0 < j < D$, Kummer). (ii) $\Delta\Psi_\chi(v) = \chi(v)$ for $v \geq 1$, and at $v = 0$, $\Delta\Psi_\chi(0) = \Psi_\chi(D-1) = \chi(0) - \Sigma\chi$. (iii) $\Delta(-\varphi_1)(v) = -((v-1) - v) = 1$ for $v \geq 1$; at $v = 0$, φ_1 takes its representative values $\varphi_1(D-1) = D-1$ and $\varphi_1(0) = 0$ in $\{0, \dots, D-1\}$, giving $-((D-1) - 0) = 1 - D$, which is $\equiv 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$ since $p \mid D$. (Throughout, integer binomial values are reduced mod p only after these differences are formed.) $\square$

Lemma 2.5 (Lucas, Vandermonde, Faulhaber). (i) (Lucas) $\varphi_j(x) \equiv \prod_i \binom{x_i}{j_i} \pmod{p}$ for base- p digits. Hence on $\mathbb{Z}/m$ with base c , for $j' < c$ and $0 \leq t < p$,

$$\varphi_{j'+tc}(x) = \varphi_{j'}(\mu(x)) \binom{\ell(x)}{t}, \quad \varphi_{j'}(x) = \varphi_{j'}(\mu(x)), \quad \varphi_c = \ell, \quad \binom{m}{j} \equiv 0 \quad (0 < j < m).$$

(ii) (Vandermonde mod p) $\binom{a+b}{t} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{a}{i} \binom{b}{t-i}$ for $0 \leq t < p$. (iii) (Faulhaber) for $0 \leq t \leq p-2$, $S_t(\ell) = \sum_{\ell' < \ell} \ell'^t$ is a polynomial of degree $t+1$ and leading coefficient $\frac{1}{t+1} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof. (i) Lucas, with the digits of $j' + tc$ being those of j' (positions $0..k-2$) and t (position $k-1$); specialise. (ii) Ordinary Vandermonde is a polynomial identity in a, b , valid mod p for $t < p$. (iii) $S_t = \frac{1}{t+1}(B_{t+1}(\ell) - B_{t+1}(0))$ (Bernoulli), degree $t+1$, leading coefficient $\frac{1}{t+1}$, a unit for $t+1 \leq p-1 < p$. $\square$

3 The tower and the binomial-flag characterisation of the basin

Goal of this section. We pin down exactly which cycles reach s . The answer is clean and classical: they are precisely the n -cycles preserving the binomial flag (Theorem 3.4), equivalently the n -cycles of an iterated affine tower (Theorem 3.3) whose p -part is the Sylow p -subgroup of S_{p^k} . This is the structural backbone; the depth computation of §§4–5 takes place inside it.

We now describe basin_{p^k} (the basin of s_{p^k}) exactly. Recall $F^{(k)}$ from §2, $\pi: F^{(k)} \rightarrow C(c)$ the base map $\pi(q) = \bar{q}$ (which by Lemma 2.3 satisfies $\pi \circ D_m = D_c \circ \pi$), and φ_j, V_h the flag on $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$. Call $\tau \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}/p^k)$ *triangular* if its pullback $g \mapsto g \circ \tau$ preserves the flag, i.e. $\varphi_j \circ \tau \in V_{j+1}$ for all j .

Lemma 3.1 (the basin commutes with g). *For $n = p^e$, $\text{basin}_{p^e} \subseteq F^{(e)}$: every q in the basin of s commutes with $g = s^d$, $d = p^{e-1}$.*

Proof. $F^{(e)} = \{q \in C(p^e) : q \text{ normalises and centralises } \langle g \rangle\}$; here, since q is a p^e -cycle, q commutes with g iff $g \in \langle q \rangle$. We show $F^{(e)}$ is closed under D -preimages; as $s \in F^{(e)}$ and the basin is generated by backward steps from s , this gives the claim. Suppose $D(q) \in F^{(e)}$, i.e. $g \in \langle D(q) \rangle = q\langle s \rangle q^{-1}$; then $q^{-1}gq \in \langle s \rangle$, an element of order p , so $q^{-1}gq \in \langle g \rangle$ (the unique order- p subgroup of the cyclic $\langle s \rangle$). Thus q normalises $\langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, acting by some automorphism; the resulting map $\langle q \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ has image of order dividing $\gcd(p^e, p-1) = 1$, so q centralises g , i.e. $q \in F^{(e)}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 (flag preliminaries). *On $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$ with $c = p^{k-1}$: $V_c = \{\text{functions of } \mu(x)\}$ (dimension c , triangular basis $(\varphi_{j'})_{j' < c}$), $\varphi_c = \ell$, and $V_{c+1} = V_c \oplus \mathbb{F}_p \ell$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.5(i): the $\varphi_{j'}$ ($j' < c$) depend only on μ and (Pascal-unitriangular) span all c functions of μ ; $\varphi_c = \ell$; and $V_{c+1} = V_c + \mathbb{F}_p \varphi_c$. $\square$

Theorem 3.3 (tower). *For $k \geq 2$, $\text{basin}_{p^k} = \{(\bar{\tau}, b) : \bar{\tau} \in \text{basin}_{p^{k-1}}, \Sigma b \not\equiv 0\}$, and $\text{basin}_p = \{\text{rotations } s^a : \gcd(a, p) = 1\}$. Hence $|\text{basin}_{p^k}| = (p-1)p^{c-1}|\text{basin}_{p^{k-1}}| = (p-1)^k p^{(p^k-1)/(p-1)-k}$.*

Proof. basin_p : the immediate (indeed only) predecessors of the fixed point s at prime level are the $p-1$ rotations s^a ($a \neq 0$), since $D(s^a) = s$ and any q with $D(q) = s$ has $qsq^{-1} = s$, i.e. $q \in \langle s \rangle$.

($\subseteq$) Let $q \in \text{basin}_{p^k}$. By Lemma 3.1, $q \in F^{(k)}$, so (1) applies and $\Sigma b(q) \not\equiv 0$ (Proposition 2.2); and $\pi(q) \in \text{basin}_{p^{k-1}}$ since π semiconjugates D_m to D_c (Lemma 2.3).

($\supseteq$) Let $q = (\bar{\tau}, b)$ with $\bar{\tau} \in \text{basin}_{p^{k-1}}$ and $\Sigma b \not\equiv 0$; then $q \in C(p^k)$. Let $m' = \text{dist}(\bar{\tau})$. By equivariance $\pi(D_m^{m'} q) = D_c^{m'} \bar{\tau} = s_c$, so $D_m^{m'} q$ lies in the root fibre $R = \pi^{-1}(s_c)$; and on R , D is a nilpotent-affine map reaching s in finitely many further steps (Lemma 4.1 below, with $\leq c$ steps). Hence $q \in \text{basin}_{p^k}$.

Cardinal: over each $\bar{\tau}$ the admissible carries number $p^c - p^{c-1} = (p-1)p^{c-1}$; unroll from $|\text{basin}_p| = p-1$ using $\sum_{i=1}^k p^{i-1} = (p^k - 1)/(p-1)$. $\square$

Theorem 3.4 (flag characterisation). *For every $k \geq 1$, $\text{basin}_{p^k} = \{\tau \in C(p^k) : \tau \text{ triangular}\}$. Moreover the pullback by $\tau \in \text{basin}_{p^k}$ is unipotent on the flag: it fixes each quotient $V_{h+1}/V_h \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ up to a nonzero scalar.*

Proof. Induction on k . $k = 1$: on $\mathbb{Z}/p$, $V_h = \{\text{polynomials of degree} < h\}$, so triangular $\Leftrightarrow \varphi_1 \circ \tau = \tau$ affine; an affine p -cycle has $a = 1$ (no fixed point), a rotation; and $\text{basin}_p = \{\text{rotations}\}$ (Theorem 3.3).

($\subseteq$) (**montée**). Let $\tau \in \text{basin}_{p^k}$; by Theorem 3.3, $\tau = (\bar{\tau}, b)$ with $\bar{\tau} \in \text{basin}_{p^{k-1}}$ triangular (induction). Fix $j = j' + tc$, $j' < c$, $t < p$. By Lemma 2.5(i), $\varphi_j = (\varphi_{j'} \circ \mu) \cdot \binom{b}{t-i} \circ \ell$; using $\mu \circ \tau = \bar{\tau} \circ \mu$ and $\ell \circ \tau = \ell + b \circ \mu$, and Vandermonde (Lemma 2.5(ii)),

$$\varphi_j \circ \tau = \sum_{i=0}^t [(\varphi_{j'} \circ \bar{\tau}) \cdot \binom{b}{t-i}] (\mu) \cdot \binom{\ell}{i}.$$

A summand $g(\mu) \binom{\ell}{i}$ (g on $\mathbb{Z}/c$) has flag-degree $\deg_V g + ic$ (expand g and use Lemma 2.5(i)). For $i < t$: $\leq (c-1) + (t-1)c = tc - 1 < j + 1$, whatever $\bar{\tau}$. For $i = t$: $\binom{b}{0} = 1$ and $\deg_V (\varphi_{j'} \circ \bar{\tau}) \leq j'$ (induction), so $\leq j' + tc = j$. Hence $\varphi_j \circ \tau \in V_{j+1}$.

($\supseteq$) (**descente**). Let $\tau \in C(p^k)$ be triangular. It preserves $V_c = \{\text{functions of } \mu\}$ (Lemma 3.2), so $\mu \circ \tau$ depends only on μ : there is $\bar{\tau} \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}/c)$ with $\mu \circ \tau = \bar{\tau} \circ \mu$, a bijection (it permutes the fibres), transitive (quotient of $\langle \tau \rangle$) hence a c -cycle, and triangular at level c (restrict the pullback to V_c); by induction $\bar{\tau} \in \text{basin}_{p^{k-1}}$. Next $\varphi_c = \ell$ and $\varphi_c \circ \tau \in V_{c+1} = V_c \oplus \mathbb{F}_p \ell$ give $\ell \circ \tau = A \circ \mu + \lambda \ell$ with $A: \mathbb{Z}/c \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ and a scalar λ . Then $\ell(\tau(x+c)) = A(\mu(x)) + \lambda(\ell(x)+1) = \ell(\tau(x)) + \lambda$ while $\mu(\tau(x+c)) = \mu(\tau(x))$, i.e. $\tau(x+c) = \tau(x) + \lambda c$, so $\tau g = g^\lambda \tau$ with $g = (x \mapsto x+c)$ of order p . If $\lambda = 0$, τ is not injective; so $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ and τ normalises $\langle g \rangle$, acting by $\lambda \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p)$; the map $\langle \tau \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ has image of order dividing $\gcd(p^k, p-1) = 1$, so $\lambda = 1$. Then $\tau \in F^{(k)}$ with carry $b(\tau) = A$, and $\Sigma A \not\equiv 0$ (cyclicity); with $\bar{\tau} \in \text{basin}_{p^{k-1}}$, Theorem 3.3 gives $\tau \in \text{basin}_{p^k}$.

Unipotence. The pullback by a triangular τ is a flag-preserving linear automorphism, upper triangular in a flag-adapted basis; its diagonal entries λ_h are units (invertibility). Its inverse (pullback by τ^{-1}) is again flag-preserving, so τ^{-1} is triangular — the triangular *permutations* form a group. $\square$

Corollary 3.5 (triangular group; carry inversion). *The triangular permutations of $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$ form a group T_k , the iterated affine tower ($\tau \mapsto \bar{\tau}$ with $\bar{\tau} \in T_{k-1}$, $\ell \circ \tau = A \circ \mu + \lambda \ell$, A free, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$), with $|T_k| = (p-1)^k p^{(p^k-1)/(p-1)}$ whose p -part is $|\text{Syl}_p(S_{p^k})|$ (T_k is the iterated wreath product of Kaloujnine [4]); exactly a fraction $1/p^k$ of them are p^k -cycles, whence $|\text{basin}_{p^k}| = |T_k|/p^k$ (Theorem 3.3). Moreover, for $\tau \in \text{basin}_{p^k}$, $\ell \circ \tau^{-1} = \ell + \beta_\tau \circ \mu$ with $\beta_\tau = -b(\tau) \circ \bar{\tau}^{-1}$ and $\mu \circ \tau^{-1} = \bar{\tau}^{-1} \circ \mu$.*

Proof. The tower description is the descent of Theorem 3.4 without cyclicity; the count is immediate, and comparison with $|\text{basin}_{p^k}|$ (Theorem 3.3) gives the ratio p^{-k} . Inversion: from $\ell(\tau(x)) = \ell(x) + b(\tau)_{\mu(x)}$ set $y = \tau(x)$ to get $\ell(\tau^{-1}y) = \ell(y) - b(\tau)_{\bar{\tau}^{-1}(\mu(y))}$; and $\mu \circ \tau^{-1} = \bar{\tau}^{-1} \circ \mu$. $\square$

Running example ($n = 8$), the basin. At $p^k = 8$ the tower gives $|T_3| = 2^7 = 128 = |\text{Syl}_2(S_8)|$, of which the fraction $1/8$ are 8-cycles, so $|\text{basin}_8| = 16$. Our $q = (s_4, (1, 1, 0, 1))$ is one of them: it preserves the binomial flag on $\mathbb{Z}/8$, its base s_4 lies in basin_4 , and $\Sigma a \not\equiv 0$ (Theorem 3.3).

4 The root fibre, and the reduction to a linear-algebra statement

Goal of this section. Inside the basin, the deepest chains live over the base fixed point s_d , on the *root fibre*, where D is a single linear map — the shift difference $I - S$, nilpotent of index d . A cycle's distance to s then splits as its base-distance plus a nilpotency height ((3)), which reduces the whole depth question to one linear-algebra statement, (L2), provable from a single arithmetic input MI (deferred to §5).

From here we fix the level $n = p^e$ ($e \geq 2$), base $d = p^{e-1}$, sub-base $c = p^{e-2}$; carries live in $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^d$, functionals on $\mathbb{Z}/d$. For $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$, $m = \text{dist}(\tau)$ and the base path is $\tau = \tau_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau_m = s_d$. The *root fibre* is $R = \pi^{-1}(s_d)$. For $q = (\tau, a)$ the forward carries are $a_0 = a$, $a_{i+1} = L_{\tau_i} a_i + w_{\tau_i}$; the affine composite over the m steps is $\Phi_\tau(a) = M_\tau a + v_\tau$, $M_\tau = L_{\tau_{m-1}} \cdots L_{\tau_0}$, and

$$\text{Sol}(\tau) = \{a : \Phi_\tau(a) = e_{d-1}\} = \{a : D_n^m(\tau, a) = s\}.$$

Write S for the shift $(Sa)_r = a_{r-1}$ and $N = I - S$, and $\rho = d$ (p odd), $d - 1$ ($p = 2$).

Lemma 4.1 (root fibre is linear; lower bound). *On R the cocycle is the affine map $a \mapsto Na + e_0$ with unique fixed point e_{d-1} ; in the recentred coordinate $\hat{a} = a - e_{d-1}$ it is the linear map $\hat{a} \mapsto N\hat{a}$, nilpotent of index d (a single Jordan block), with $\text{Im } N^h = \{v : \langle \varphi_j, v \rangle = 0, j < h\}$ and a vector of $\text{Im } N^h$ of N -height $\leq d - h$. Consequently, for q with base τ at distance m ,*

$$\text{dist}(q) = m + \text{height}(\Phi_\tau(a) - e_{d-1}). \quad (3)$$

The whole fibre R is a single in-tree of $\mathbf{0}$ of height d , and $\text{depth}(p^e) \geq \rho$: R contains an admissible carry of height exactly ρ .

Proof. For $\tau = s_d$: $(L_{s_d} a)_{r'} = a_{r'} - a_{r'-1} = (Na)_{r'}$ and $w_{s_d} = e_{s_d(d-1)} = e_0$ (Lemma 2.3). The fixed point solves $Na + e_0 = a$, i.e. $Sa = e_0$, $a = e_{d-1}$; and $N(a - e_{d-1}) = Na - (e_{d-1} - e_0) = (Na + e_0) - e_{d-1}$, so $\hat{a} \mapsto N\hat{a}$. Over $\mathbb{F}_p$, $S^d = I$ and $X^d - 1 = (X - 1)^d$ (d a p -power), so N is nilpotent of index d , one Jordan block; and since Δ lowers flag-degree by exactly one with $V_h = \ker \Delta^h$ (Lemma 2.4), the same holds for N (they share the flag), giving $\text{Im } N^h = \{v : \langle \varphi_j, v \rangle = 0, j < h\}$ (dimension $d - h$) and the height bound; (3) follows since $D^m(q) \in R$ has recentred carry acted on by N .

The map $\hat{a} \mapsto N\hat{a}$ is the additive cellular automaton of rule $1 - x$ on the cyclic lattice $\mathbb{Z}/d$ (“rule 60” at $p = 2$); as $d = p^{e-1}$ is a p -power and the rule shares the single factor $x - 1$ with $x^d - 1 = (x - 1)^d$, this is the purely nilpotent case of Martin–Odlyzko–Wolfram [6] (their Theorem 4.3, with $D_p(d) = d$ and multiplicity $X = 1$, gives in-tree height $\lceil D_p(d)/X \rceil = d$): all of R is one in-tree of $\mathbf{0}$ of height d . It remains to locate the deepest *admissible* carry (those $a = \hat{a} + e_{d-1}$ with $\Sigma a \neq 0$). For p odd a height- d vector can be taken admissible, so $\text{depth} \geq d = \rho$; for $p = 2$ the admissibility constraint excludes the top height d but a height- $(d - 1)$ carry is admissible (e.g. $\hat{a} = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$), so $\text{depth} \geq d - 1 = \rho$. $\square$

Running example ($n = 8$), **the deepest chain.** Here $d = 4$, $c = 2$, $\rho = d - 1 = 3$. Our $q = (s_4, (1, 1, 0, 1))$ has base s_4 , so it lies in the root fibre $R = \pi^{-1}(s_4)$; recentred, $\hat{a} = a - e_3 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$, with $N\hat{a} = (1, 0, 1, 0)$, $N^2\hat{a} = (1, 1, 1, 1)$, $N^3\hat{a} = 0$: N -height 3. By (3) (base-distance $m = 0$) $\text{dist}(q) = 3$, realised by the carry chain

$$(1, 1, 0, 1) \xrightarrow{D} (1, 0, 1, 1) \xrightarrow{D} (1, 1, 1, 0) \xrightarrow{D} (0, 0, 0, 1) = e_3, \quad \text{i.e. } q \rightarrow Dq \rightarrow D^2q \rightarrow s.$$

The top height $d = 4$ is unreachable by an admissible carry (a height-4 vector has $\Sigma \hat{a} = 1$, forcing $\Sigma a = \Sigma \hat{a} + 1 = 0$), so 3 is the deepest admissible height, and q realises $\text{depth}(8) = 3 = 2^{e-1} - 1$.

Remark 4.2. The height- d nilpotency above is the additive-cellular-automaton result of [6]; our contribution at this level is only the admissibility refinement (the “ -1 ” at $p = 2$, forced by single-cycleness), and, upstream, the reduction of the permutation dynamics $D(q) = qsq^{-1}$ to this automaton on R .

4.1 The adjoint, the rank, and rigidity

Lemma 4.3 (adjoint). $L_\tau^* \varphi = \Delta(\varphi \circ \tau)$; and for $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$, $\deg_V L_\tau^* \varphi \leq \deg_V \varphi - 1$.

Proof. The dual cocycle (Lemma 2.3) minus the constant $\langle \varphi, w_\tau \rangle = \varphi(\tau(d-1))$ gives $\langle \varphi, L_\tau a \rangle = \langle \Delta(\varphi \circ \tau), a \rangle$. For $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$, (F) (Theorem 3.4) gives $\deg_V(\varphi \circ \tau) \leq \deg_V \varphi$, and Δ lowers flag-degree by one (Lemma 2.4). $\square$

Proposition 4.4 (rank, image, rigidity). $\text{rank } M_\tau = d - m$, $\text{Im } M_\tau = \text{Im } N^m$, $\text{Im } M_\tau^* = V_{d-m}$. As $m \leq \text{depth}(d) < d$, we have $\mathbf{1} = \varphi_0 \in V_{d-m} = (\ker M_\tau)^\perp$, so $\Sigma|_{\ker M_\tau} = 0$: Σ is **constant** on $\text{Sol}(\tau)$ whenever nonempty (rigidity).

Proof. $M_\tau^* = L_{\tau_0}^* \cdots L_{\tau_{m-1}}^*$ composes m maps each lowering flag-degree by ≥ 1 (Lemma 4.3), so $\deg_V M_\tau^* \varphi_j \leq j - m$; thus $M_\tau^* \varphi_j = 0$ for $j < m$ and $\langle \varphi_j, M_\tau a \rangle = 0$ for all a , i.e. $\text{Im } M_\tau \subseteq \text{Im } N^m$ (dimension $d - m$). Each L_{τ_i} has corank 1 (Lemma 2.3), so $\text{rank } M_\tau \geq d - m$; equality follows, and $\text{Im } M_\tau = \text{Im } N^m$. Dually $\text{Im } M_\tau^*$ has dimension $d - m$ and lies in V_{d-m} by the degree bound, so equals it. Finally $\varphi_0 \in V_{d-m} = (\ker M_\tau)^\perp$ (as $m < d$), so Σ kills $\ker M_\tau$ and is constant on the coset $\text{Sol}(\tau)$. $\square$

4.2 Transport and the closed form

Lemma 4.5 (transport). Set $\chi_0 = \tau_0^{-1}$ and, when χ_i is zero-mean, $\chi_{i+1} = \Psi_{\chi_i} \circ \tau_{i+1}^{-1}$. Then $\langle \chi_i, a_{i+1} \rangle = \langle \chi_{i+1}, a_{i+2} \rangle - \Psi_{\chi_i}(d-1)$.

Proof. Apply the dual cocycle at step $i+1$ with $\varphi = \Psi_{\chi_i} \circ \tau_{i+1}^{-1}$ (so $\varphi \circ \tau_{i+1} = \Psi_{\chi_i}$, $\Delta(\varphi \circ \tau_{i+1}) = \chi_i$): $\langle \varphi, a_{i+2} \rangle = \langle \chi_i, a_{i+1} \rangle + \Psi_{\chi_i}(d-1)$. $\square$

Proposition 4.6 (closed form). If $\chi_0, \dots, \chi_{m-2}$ are all zero-mean, the constant value of Σ on $\text{Sol}(\tau)$ (Proposition 4.4) is

$$V(\tau) = -\chi_{m-1}(d-1) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \Psi_{\chi_i}(d-1) - 1. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Iterate Lemma 4.5 from $\Sigma a = -\langle \chi_0, a_1 \rangle - 1$ (Lemma 2.4(iii) and the dual cocycle with $\varphi = -\varphi_1 \circ \tau_0^{-1}$); for $a \in \text{Sol}(\tau)$, $a_m = e_{d-1}$, so $\langle \chi_{m-1}, a_m \rangle = \chi_{m-1}(d-1)$. $\square$

4.3 Geometrisation, and the reduction theorem

Definition 4.7. (L2) holds at τ if $\langle \varphi_j, \Phi_\tau(a) - e_{d-1} \rangle = 0$ for all admissible a and all $j < m$; and, for $p = 2$, the same holds at $j = m$ for those admissible a with $\Sigma a = 1$.

Proposition 4.8 (geometrisation). (L2) at $\tau \Leftrightarrow \text{Sol}(\tau) \neq \emptyset$ (and, for $p = 2$, with a representative of sum 1).

Proof. The linear part $a \mapsto \langle \varphi_j, M_\tau a \rangle$ vanishes for $j < m$ since $\varphi_j \perp \text{Im } M_\tau = \text{Im } N^m$ (Proposition 4.4). So (L2) ($j < m$) is the constant condition $\langle \varphi_j, v_\tau - e_{d-1} \rangle = 0$, i.e. $e_{d-1} - v_\tau \in \text{Im } N^m = \text{Im } M_\tau$, i.e. $M_\tau a = e_{d-1} - v_\tau$ solvable, i.e. $\text{Sol}(\tau) \neq \emptyset$. For $p = 2$ the level $j = m$ says the (constant, by rigidity) value of Σ on $\text{Sol}(\tau)$ is 1. $\square$

Theorem 4.9 (reduction). If (L2) holds at every $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$, then $\text{depth}(p^e) = \rho$.

Proof. For $q = (\tau, a)$ with base distance m : by (L2), $\langle \varphi_j, \Phi_\tau(a) - e_{d-1} \rangle = 0$ for $j < m$ (and $j = m$ if $p = 2$, as $\Sigma a = 1$), so $\Phi_\tau(a) - e_{d-1} \in \text{Im } N^m$ (resp. $\text{Im } N^{m+1}$), of height $\leq d - m$ (resp. $\leq d - 1 - m$) (Lemma 4.1). By (3), $\text{dist}(q) \leq d = \rho$ (p odd), resp. $\leq d - 1 = \rho$ ($p = 2$). With the lower bound (Lemma 4.1), equality. $\square$

4.4 From the master identity to (L2)

Corollary 4.10 (non-leaf carries sum to 1). *If $\tau = D_d(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in \text{basin}_d$, then $\Sigma b(\tau) = 1$.*

Proof. $b(\tau) = L_\sigma b(\sigma) + w_\sigma$ is a cocycle image (Lemma 2.3). $\square$

Call MI the statement: *for every $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$ the transport chain is non-obstructed and $V(\tau) = \Sigma b(\tau)$ (established in §5).*

Theorem 4.11 (tree lemma). *MI implies $\text{Sol}(\tau) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$; hence (L2) everywhere and $\text{depth}(p^e) = \rho$.*

Proof. Induction on $m = \text{dist}(\tau)$, with invariant $\text{Sol}(\tau) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Sigma \equiv \Sigma b(\tau)$ on $\text{Sol}(\tau)$ (recall $\Sigma b(\tau) \neq 0$, cyclicity). Base $m = 0$: $\text{Sol}(s_d) = \{e_{d-1}\}$, $\Sigma e_{d-1} = 1 = \Sigma b(s_d)$. Let $m \geq 1$, $\tau_1 = D_d \tau$; put $P = \{a : L_\tau a + w_\tau \in \text{Sol}(\tau_1)\}$.

- (a) $P \neq \emptyset$: the affine step is onto $\{\Sigma = 1\}$ (Lemma 2.3), and $\text{Sol}(\tau_1) \subseteq \{\Sigma = 1\}$ since τ_1 is non-leaf, so $\Sigma b(\tau_1) = 1$ (Corollary 4.10) and (induction) $\Sigma \equiv 1$ on $\text{Sol}(\tau_1)$.
- (b) $\Sigma \equiv \Sigma b(\tau)$ on P : for $a \in P$, $a_m = e_{d-1}$, so Proposition 4.6 (chain non-obstructed by MI) gives $\Sigma a = V(\tau) = \Sigma b(\tau)$ (by MI).
- (c) *Admissibility*: $\Sigma b(\tau) \neq 0$, so every $a \in P$ has $\Sigma a \neq 0$, hence $(\tau, a) \in C(n)$ and $a \in \text{Sol}(\tau)$. Thus $\text{Sol}(\tau) = P \neq \emptyset$.

Then (L2) everywhere (Proposition 4.8; for $p = 2$, $\Sigma b(\tau) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$ is $\Sigma \equiv 1$), and Theorem 4.9 concludes. $\square$

By Theorem 4.11 it remains to prove MI: the transport chain is non-obstructed on basin_d , and $V(\tau) = \Sigma b(\tau)$. This is the content of §5.

5 The obstruction law and the compensation

Goal of this section. This proves MI, the one arithmetic fact left open in §4. Transporting a chain of functionals along the base path, it first fails to close up at step exactly $d - 2$ (the *obstruction law*), and the resulting invariant $V(\tau)$ equals $\Sigma b(\tau)$ (the *master identity*). This is where the odd- p vs $p = 2$ dichotomy is finally pinned to a single carry.

The transport chain $\chi_0 = \tau_0^{-1}$, $\chi_{i+1} = \Psi_{\chi_i} \circ \tau_{i+1}^{-1}$ is defined as long as the χ_i are zero-mean; call $\text{Ob}(\tau) = \min\{i : \Sigma \chi_i \neq 0\}$ (extending the base path stationarily at s_d), and $\text{Ob}(d) = \min_\tau \text{Ob}(\tau)$.

5.1 The graded module

Decompose each $\chi : \mathbb{Z}/d \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ as $\chi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f_j(\mu(x)) \ell(x)^j$ with $f_j : \mathbb{Z}/c \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ (Vandermonde on the p values of ℓ); write $\deg_\ell \chi = \max\{j : f_j \neq 0\}$ and $A_j = \sum_r f_j(r)$.

Lemma 5.1 (graded calculus). (i) $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}/d} \chi = -A_{p-1}$ (the mean sees only the top ℓ -degree). (ii) If $\deg_\ell \chi = j \leq p - 2$ with top component f_j , the primitive Ψ_χ has ℓ^{j+1} -component $\kappa_j A_j \mathbf{1}$ with $\kappa_j = -\frac{1}{j+1} \neq 0$; and, when $A_j = 0$ (equivalently $\deg_V f_j \leq c - 2$, since $A_j = \text{head of } f_j \text{ times } [\deg_V f_j = c - 1]$ by Lucas), the ℓ^j -component is the truncated r -primitive of f_j , of flag-degree $\deg_V f_j + 1 \leq c - 1$ with head equal to that of f_j , plus strictly lower flag-degree. (iii) Composition by τ^{-1} ($\tau \in \text{basin}_d$) maps ℓ -degree j to $\leq j$ (strictly lower only for the below-top parts), acting on the top component by the flag-preserving pullback $f_j \mapsto f_j \circ \bar{\tau}^{-1}$ (head times a nonzero scalar, Theorem 3.4).

Proof. (i) $\Sigma\chi = \sum_j A_j \sum_\ell \ell^j$; $\sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} \ell^j \equiv 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq p-2$ and $\equiv -1$ for $j = p-1$. (ii) Split $\Psi_\chi(r + c\ell) = -\sum_{\ell' < \ell} (\sum_{r'} \sum_t f_t(r') \ell'^t) - \sum_{r' \leq r} \sum_t f_t(r') \ell^t$; the first sum is $-\sum_t A_t S_t(\ell)$ (Faulhaber), top part $t = j$ contributing ℓ^{j+1} -coefficient $-\frac{1}{j+1} A_j$; when $A_j = 0$ the ℓ^j -part is the r -primitive of f_j (head climbs one flag-degree, Lemma 2.4), the remaining Faulhaber terms being constants in r at lower ℓ -degree. (iii) $\ell \circ \tau^{-1} = \ell + \beta_\tau \circ \mu$ (Corollary 3.5) gives $\ell^j \circ \tau^{-1} = \sum_{i \leq j} \binom{j}{i} (\beta_\tau \circ \mu)^{j-i} \ell^i$, of ℓ -degree $\leq j$ with top coefficient 1; and $f_j(\mu) \circ \tau^{-1} = (f_j \circ \bar{\tau}^{-1})(\mu)$. $\square$

5.2 The obstruction law

Theorem 5.2 (obstruction law). *For every prime power $d = p^k$ and every $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$, $\text{Ob}(\tau) = d-2$; in particular the chain is non-obstructed for all steps $< d-2$, hence (as $\text{dist}(\tau) \leq \text{depth}(d) < d-1$) for all steps up to $\text{dist}(\tau) - 2$.*

Proof. Induction on k . $k = 1$ ($d = p$, $c = 1$): $\chi_0 = s_p^{-B}$ is an affine (degree-1) function of $\ell = x$; each primitive raises the polynomial degree by one (Lemma 5.1(ii), $c = 1$) and composition by a rotation preserves degrees, so $\deg_\ell \chi_i = i + 1$; by (i) the chain is zero-mean until the top degree reaches $p-1$, first obstructed at $i = p-2 = d-2$.

Heredity ($d = pc$): we track the graded decomposition. *No upward pollution:* by Lemma 5.1(ii,iii), composition never raises ℓ -degree and the primitive raises the top ℓ^t to ℓ^{t+1} only when $A_t \neq 0$; a component of degree $t < j$ thus reaches at most $t+1 \leq j < j+1$, so only the current top degree j , and only when $A_j \neq 0$, seeds $j+1$. *Stage 0:* while $f_1 = \dots = f_{p-1} = 0$, f_0 is the sub-level chain (pullback), whose mean $A_0 = \Sigma_c f_0$ first becomes nonzero at $i = \text{Ob}(c) = c-2$ (induction); this seeds degree 1, first present at step $t_1 = c-1$. *Stage j* ($1 \leq j \leq p-1$): once seeded (top component f_j with head φ_0), while $A_j = 0$ the head climbs the $\mathbb{Z}/c$ flag by one per step (Lemma 5.1(ii,iii)), staying nonzero; by Lucas $\sum_r \varphi_h = [h = c-1]$, so A_j vanishes until the head reaches φ_{c-1} , at $s = c-1$ steps, i.e. step $t_j + c-1$, where it seeds $j+1$ (if $j \leq p-2$, $\kappa_j \neq 0$): $t_{j+1} = t_j + c$. Count: $t_1 = c-1$, $t_{j+1} = t_j + c$, so the mean $-A_{p-1}$ (i) first becomes nonzero at $t_{p-1} + (c-1) = (c-1) + (p-2)c + (c-1) = pc-2 = d-2$. $\square$

In particular MI's non-obstruction clause holds: for $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$, $\text{dist}(\tau) - 2 < \text{depth}(d) \leq d-2 = \text{Ob}(d)$, so $\chi_0, \dots, \chi_{\text{dist}(\tau)-2}$ are zero-mean and $V(\tau)$ is defined (Proposition 4.6).

5.3 $V(\tau) = \Sigma b(\tau)$

We compute $\Sigma b(\tau)$ by the level- c transport applied to the carry sequence, and compare to $V(\tau)$.

Theorem 5.3 (master identity). *$V(\tau) = \Sigma b(\tau)$ for every $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\chi}_i$ be the sub-level chain on $\mathbb{Z}/c$ (from the projected base path $\bar{\tau}_i$), and $\beta^{(i)} = b(\tau_i)$ the carries of the base path, evolving by the level- c cocycle $\beta^{(i+1)} = L_{\bar{\tau}_i} \beta^{(i)} + w_{\bar{\tau}_i}$ with $\beta^{(m)} = b(s_d) = e_{c-1}$. Set $G_i = \langle \bar{\chi}_i, \beta^{(i+1)} \rangle$; the level- c analogue of $\Sigma a = -\langle \chi_0, a_1 \rangle - 1$ gives $\Sigma b(\tau) = -G_0 - 1$.

Non-deviated case ($m < c$, or generally while the sub-level chain is zero-mean). By Theorem 5.2 at level c , the sub-level chain is non-obstructed for $i \leq m-2$ iff $m-2 < \text{Ob}(c) = c-2$, i.e. $m < c$. Then each transport step is clean, $G_i = G_{i+1} - \bar{\Psi}_{\bar{\chi}_i}(c-1)$, and $\Sigma b(\tau) = -\bar{\chi}_{m-1}(c-1) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \bar{\Psi}_{\bar{\chi}_i}(c-1) - 1 = \bar{V}$. Meanwhile the level- d chain is the pullback $\chi_i = \bar{\chi}_i \circ \mu$ (the primitive of a zero- c -mean pullback is a pullback, Lemma 5.1(ii) with $A = 0$; composition preserves pullbacks, Corollary 3.5), so evaluating (4) with $\mu(d-1) = c-1$ gives $V(\tau) = \bar{V}$. Hence $V(\tau) = \Sigma b(\tau)$.

Deviated case ($m = c$). Now $\text{dist}(\tau) = m = \text{depth}(d)$, and the sub-level chain is obstructed at exactly the last solicited step $i = c-2$ ($\text{Ob}(c) = c-2$), with $T := \Sigma_c \bar{\chi}_{c-2} \neq 0$. For $i \leq c-3$ the pullback persists; at $i = c-2$, the primitive of the mean- T pullback carries a *deviation*:

$\Psi_{\chi_{c-2}} = \bar{\Psi}_{\bar{\chi}_{c-2}} \circ \mu - T\ell$ (from $\Psi_{g \circ \mu}(r + c\ell) = \bar{\Psi}_g(r) - (\Sigma_c g)\ell$). Composing with τ_{c-1}^{-1} (Corollary 3.5), $\chi_{c-1} = \bar{\chi}_{c-1} \circ \mu - T\ell - T(\beta_{c-1} \circ \mu)$. In (4) (using $\ell(d-1) = p-1$) the two $\pm T(p-1)$ terms cancel, leaving

$$V(\tau) = \bar{V} + T\beta_{c-1}(c-1), \quad \bar{V} := -\bar{\chi}_{c-1}(c-1) + \sum_{i=0}^{c-2} \bar{\Psi}_{\bar{\chi}_i}(c-1) - 1. \quad (5)$$

On the Σb side, the level- c telescope is clean for $i \leq c-3$, and at $i = c-2$ the raw transfer with the mass jump $\Delta \bar{\Psi}_{\bar{\chi}_{c-2}} = \bar{\chi}_{c-2} - Te_0$ (Lemma 2.4(ii)) gives, with $\varphi = \bar{\Psi}_{\bar{\chi}_{c-2}} \circ \bar{\tau}_{c-1}^{-1}$,

$$G_{c-2} = [\bar{\chi}_{c-1}(c-1) - \bar{\Psi}_{\bar{\chi}_{c-2}}(c-1)] + T\beta_0^{(c-1)},$$

the extra $+T\beta_0^{(c-1)} = +Tb(\tau_{c-1})_0$ being the mass-jump term evaluated against $\beta^{(c-1)}$. Telescoping the clean steps into $\Sigma b(\tau) = -G_0 - 1$,

$$\Sigma b(\tau) = \bar{V} - Tb(\tau_{c-1})_0. \quad (6)$$

Comparing (5), (6), MI is equivalent to $\beta_{c-1}(c-1) = -b(\tau_{c-1})_0$. Now τ_{c-1} is a rotation s_d^B (base-distance 1); its sub-base carry is $b(s_d^B)_r = \lfloor ((r+B) \bmod d)/c \rfloor$, so $b_0 = B_1$ (writing $B = \bar{B} + B_1c$, $1 \leq \bar{B} < c$), while by $\ell \circ \tau^{-1} = \ell + \beta \circ \mu$ (Corollary 3.5) a direct evaluation gives $\beta_{c-1}(c-1) = -B_1 = -b_0$. Hence $V(\tau) = \Sigma b(\tau)$ in all cases. $\square$

Corollary 5.4 (prime-power depth). $\text{depth}(p^e) = \rho$: p^{e-1} for p odd, $2^{e-1} - 1$ for $p = 2$.

Proof. By Theorems 5.2 (non-obstruction) and 5.3, MI holds; Theorem 4.11 gives $\text{depth}(p^e) = \rho$. (Base cases $e \leq 2$, where $c = p^{e-2} \leq 1$ is degenerate, are direct: $\text{depth}(p) = 1$ for p odd, $\text{depth}(2) = 0$, $\text{depth}(p^2) = p$ resp. $\text{depth}(4) = 1$, from Theorem 3.3 and the root fibre.) $\square$

6 General n via the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Goal of this section. The prime-power computation is complete; we assemble general n . Everything factors along coprime factors — basin, distance, hence depth — so $\text{depth}(n)$ is simply the largest prime-power depth.

Let $n = n_1 n_2$ with $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$. The isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n_1 \times \mathbb{Z}/n_2$ ($x \mapsto (x \bmod n_1, x \bmod n_2)$) carries s_n to (s_{n_1}, s_{n_2}) ; we identify the two, write points (x_1, x_2) , and call a permutation a *product* $q_1 \times q_2$ if it acts coordinatewise.

Lemma 6.1. (i) $q_1 \times q_2 \in C(n)$ iff $q_i \in C(n_i)$; (ii) $D_n(q_1 \times q_2) = D_{n_1}(q_1) \times D_{n_2}(q_2)$; (iii) if $q \in C(n)$ and $D_n(q)$ is a product, then q is a product.

Proof. (i) The orbit of (x_1, x_2) has size $\text{lcm}(\ell_1, \ell_2) = n = \text{lcm}(n_1, n_2)$ for all points iff each q_i is a full cycle. (ii) $s_n = s_{n_1} \times s_{n_2}$ and products conjugate coordinatewise. (iii) Let $t = D_n(q) = t_1 \times t_2$ ($t_i \in C(n_i)$). Then $g := s_n^{n_1}$ advances only the second coordinate by n_1 (invertible mod n_2), so $\langle g \rangle$ has the rows $\{a\} \times \mathbb{Z}/n_2$ as orbits; and $t^{n_1} = q g q^{-1}$ (as $t = q s q^{-1}$) while $t^{n_1} = \text{id} \times t_2^{n_1}$ (since t_1 has order n_1 , and $t_2^{n_1}$ is a full n_2 -cycle) also has the rows as orbits. Conjugation transports orbits, so $q(\text{rows}) = \text{rows}$: q maps each row R_a onto a single row, hence the first coordinate of $q(x)$ depends only on x_1 . Symmetrically (with $s_n^{n_2}$ and the columns) the second depends only on x_2 ; so q is a product. $\square$

Theorem 6.2 (multiplicativity). For coprime n_1, n_2 , $\text{basin}_n = \{q_1 \times q_2 : q_i \in \text{basin}_{n_i}\}$ and $\text{dist}(q_1 \times q_2) = \max(\text{dist} q_1, \text{dist} q_2)$. Hence $|\text{basin}_n| = |\text{basin}_{n_1}| |\text{basin}_{n_2}|$ and $\text{depth}(n) = \max(\text{depth } n_1, \text{depth } n_2)$.

Proof. ($\supseteq$) By Lemma 6.1(ii), $D_n^k(q_1 \times q_2) = D_{n_1}^k(q_1) \times D_{n_2}^k(q_2) = s_n$ iff $k \geq \text{dist} q_1$ and $k \geq \text{dist} q_2$. ($\subseteq$) For $q \in \text{basin}_n$ with $D_n^t(q) = s_n$ (a product), backward induction with Lemma 6.1(iii) makes $q, \dots, D_n^t(q)$ all products; $q = q_1 \times q_2$ with $q_i \in C(n_i)$, and projecting $D_n^t(q) = s_n$ gives $D_{n_i}^t(q_i) = s_{n_i}$, so $q_i \in \text{basin}_{n_i}$. The consequences are immediate. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Corollary 5.4 gives $\text{depth}(p^e)$; iterating Theorem 6.2 over the coprime prime-power factors of n gives $\text{depth}(n) = \max_p \text{depth}(p^{e_p})$. The threshold statement is the observation that $\text{depth}(p^e) \geq 2$ iff $8 \mid p^e$ (i.e. $p = 2, e \geq 3$) or $p^2 \mid p^e$ with p odd (i.e. p odd, $e \geq 2$). $\square$

7 Discussion

Interpreting the threshold. $\text{depth}(n) \geq 2$ iff $8 \mid n$ or $p^2 \mid n$ for an odd prime — verbatim the Hull–Dobell condition for a full-period non-translation affine map on $\mathbb{Z}/n$. The affine n -cycles $x \mapsto ax + b$ occupy the top two layers of the in-tree (D sends such a map to s^a , then to s), and are full cycles exactly under Hull–Dobell; the tree is deep precisely when non-translation ones exist. This is *not* the squarefree threshold: at $n = 12$ (and $n = 4$) the level is non-squarefree yet the tree is shallow ($\text{depth} = 1$), because $4 \parallel n$ without $8 \mid n$.

A single arithmetic thread. Beneath the three structural steps lies one mechanism: near s , the dynamics of D is the carrying of base- p addition. From this vantage the classical ingredients the proof invokes — Hull–Dobell (full-period affine maps), Lucas and Kummer (digit carries), Faulhaber (power sums) — are facets of a single arithmetic rather than independent tools. In the same vein, the admissible carry maps are single-cycle T -functions in the sense of Klimov–Shamir [3], whose transitivity is the object of Anashin’s non-Archimedean ergodic theory [5].

Related work: carries elsewhere. Carries have appeared in the combinatorics of the symmetric group in different guises: as a random Markov chain equivalent to riffle shuffling, with Eulerian eigenvalues and a Foulkes-character eigenbasis [7, 8], and as the 2-cocycle of the extension $\mathbb{Z}/b\mathbb{Z}$ measuring its non-splitting [9]. The carries here are of a different nature: a *deterministic* 1-cocycle of the conjugation dynamics over $\mathbb{F}_p$, and the invariant of interest is a tree depth rather than a mixing time or a cohomology class of an abelian extension.

Open questions. Two natural questions lie beyond the depth. (i) *The rest of the functional graph.* D acts on all of $C(n)$, and the cycles that never reach s fall into other components; their periodic orbits, and the number and sizes of the connected components of the graph of D , are not addressed here. (ii) *The shape of the in-tree.* We obtain $|\text{basin}_{p^k}|$ in closed form (Theorem 3.3) but only the *height* of the tree; the distance profile — how many cycles sit at each distance from s — remains open, as does whether it factors under the Chinese Remainder Theorem the way the height does (Theorem 6.2).

Status and formalisation. As stated in the title footnote, the argument was machine-generated and is not yet refereed. Reassuringly for a future check, all of §§2–6 is finite linear algebra over $\mathbb{F}_p$ together with elementary group theory — a natural candidate for a proof assistant. A Lean formalisation of the cocycle, the flag characterisation, and the obstruction law would settle the remaining status question definitively.

A Computer verification

Every statement was checked by exact computation over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (Python), exhaustively at the levels indicated; these are falsification attempts and reproducibility aids, not part of the proof. Selected certificates:

- *Cocycle and carry coordinates* (Lemmas 2.3, Props. 2.1, 2.2): bijection, cyclicity, $w_\tau = e_{\tau(c-1)}$, dual form: 0 exceptions at $(p, c) = (2, 4), (3, 3), (2, 2)$.
- *Flag characterisation* (Theorem 3.4): $\text{basin}_{p^k} = \{\text{triangular cycles}\}$ as sets at $p^k = 4, 8, 9$; inclusion on all 484,440 elements of the basins of $C(16), C(25), C(27)$; $\text{basin} \subseteq F^{(k)}$ and the descent identities ($\ell \circ \tau = A \circ \mu + \ell$, $\lambda = 1$, $A = b(\tau)$; $\ell \circ \tau^{-1} = \ell - b \circ \bar{\tau}^{-1}$): 0 exceptions at $p^k = 8, 9, 25, 27$.
- *Root fibre, rank, rigidity* (Lemmas 4.1, 4.3, Prop. 4.4): $D|_R = N$ recentred, $\text{Im } N^h = \text{moment kernel}$, $L_\tau^* = \Delta(\cdot \circ \tau)$, $\text{rank } M_\tau = d - m$, $\Sigma|_{\ker M_\tau} = 0$: 0 exceptions at $n = 8, 16, 27$. Lower bound: root fibre reaches height ρ at n up to $2^5 = 32$.
- *Geometrisation* (Prop. 4.8) and *tree lemma* (Theorem 4.11): $\text{Sol}(\tau) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \text{moments vanish}$, and $\text{Sol}(\tau) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\tau \in \text{basin}_d$: 0 exceptions at $n = 8, 16, 27$; independent certificate $\text{depth}(81) = 27$ on 3661 base points of basin_{27} (all distances), via the closed-form cocycle only.
- *Obstruction law* (Theorem 5.2): first obstruction at step $d - 2$, uniformly: $p = 2$: at $d = 4, 8, 16$; $p = 3$: $d = 9, 27$; $p = 5$: $d = 25, 125$; $p = 7$: $d = 49$; $p = 11$: $d = 121$ — all at the exact step, over all/thousands of base points.
- *Master identity* (Theorem 5.3): the graded rules, the mass jump, the carry telescope, and $V(\tau) = \Sigma b(\tau)$: 0 violations on all 18 deviated paths at $n = 27$ and on 400-path samples at $n = 81$ ($p = 3$) and $n = 125$ ($p = 5$).
- *Exhaustive depth*: $\text{depth}(p^e) = \rho$ confirmed by full backward search for all prime powers ≤ 27 and for $n = 49$ ($|\text{basin}| = 4,235,364 = 36 \cdot 7^6$), and $\text{depth}(2^5) = 15$ via the root fibre.
- *CRT* (Theorem 6.2): products, backward closure, $\text{dist} = \max$, multiplicativity: 0 exceptions at $n = 6, 12, 15$; and $|\text{basin}_n|, \text{depth}(n)$ against exhaustive values at $n = 18, 24, 36, 40, 45, 48, 50, 54, 72, 100$.

References

- [1] T. E. Hull and A. R. Dobell, *Random number generators*, SIAM Review **4** (1962), 230–254.
- [2] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 2*, 3rd ed., Addison–Wesley (1997).
- [3] A. Klimov and A. Shamir, *A new class of invertible mappings*, CHES 2002, LNCS **2523**, Springer (2003), 470–483.
- [4] L. Kaloujnine, *La structure des p -groupes de Sylow des groupes symétriques finis*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **65** (1948), 239–276.
- [5] V. Anashin and A. Khrennikov, *Applied Algebraic Dynamics*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics **49**, Walter de Gruyter (2009).
- [6] O. Martin, A. M. Odlyzko and S. Wolfram, *Algebraic properties of cellular automata*, Comm. Math. Phys. **93** (1984), 219–258.
- [7] J. M. Holte, *Carries, combinatorics, and an amazing matrix*, Amer. Math. Monthly **104** (1997), no. 2, 138–149.

- [8] P. Diaconis and J. Fulman, *Carries, shuffling, and an amazing matrix*, Amer. Math. Monthly **116** (2009), no. 9, 788–803.
- [9] D. Isaksen, *A cohomological viewpoint on elementary school arithmetic*, Amer. Math. Monthly **109** (2002), 796–805.
- [10] F. Lefebvre-Naré, *Iterated conjugation by a fixed cycle: the functional graph of $p \mapsto p s p^{-1}$ on n -cycles*, survey paper (2026).